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#### Abstract

Electron-transfer oxidation of various 9-substituted 10-methyl-9,10-dihydroacridines (AcrHR) by $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{3}$ (phen $=1,10$-phenanthroline) results in cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}$ bond of $\mathrm{AcrHR}^{\bullet}{ }^{+}$ depending on the substituent R. Transient electronic absorption spectra as well as electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra of AcrHR ${ }^{+}$have been detected by using a stopped-flow spectrophotometer and a rapid mixing flow ESR technique, respectively. The hyperfine splitting constants (hfs) are determined by comparing the observed ESR spectra with those from the computer simulation. Comparison of the hfs values with those expected from the molecular orbital calculations indicates the structural change of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$with the substituent R , which is reflected in the selectivity of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ vs $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage of $\mathrm{AcrHR} \cdot+$ depending on the substituent R . The decay rates of $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{\bullet+}$ obey the mixture of first-order and second-order kinetics due to the deprotonation (or the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage) and disproportionation reactions, respectively. Both the first-order and bimolecular second-order decay rate constants of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$are reported. The first-order decay rate constant for the deprotonation of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$by the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage decreases with the substitution in order $\mathrm{R}=$ primary $>$ secondary $>$ tertiary alkyl groups, while the first-order decay due to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage becomes dominant with tertiary alkyl groups. The one-electron oxidation potentials of various AcrHR have been determined directly by applying fast cyclic voltammetry. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{\bullet+}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ and Me) have also been evaluated by analyzing the dependence of the first-order deprotonation rate constants on the concentrations of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$.


## Introduction

The biological importance of dihydronicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) used as an electron source has attracted considerable interest in electron-transfer reactions from NADH and its analogs to various one-electron oxidants, in which the radical cations of NADH and analogs should be formed. ${ }^{1-4}$ However, no ESR spectra of NADH or analogs have so far been reported because of the instability, although the transient electronic spectra of NADH and analogs have recently been reported. ${ }^{5-7}$ Such instability of radical cations of NADH analogs

[^0]has precluded the direct determination of the fundamental properties for the electron-transfer oxidation, such as the oneelectron oxidation potentials, the structure, and the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of radical cations. Recently Saveant et al. ${ }^{8}$ have succeeded in determining the one-electron oxidation potential ( $E^{\circ}{ }_{0 x}$ ) of an NADH analog, 10 -methyl-9,10-dihydroacridine ( $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}$ ), by applying fast cyclic voltammetry as $E^{\circ}{ }_{o x}=0.86 \mathrm{~V}$ (vs SCE), which agrees well with the value estimated previously by us on the basis of the Marcus theory of electron transfer. ${ }^{9,10}$ It is certainly desired to obtain more data concerning fundamental properties of radical cations of NADH analogs by the direct detection of the transient species.

This study reports the first observation of ESR spectra of transient radical cations of NADH analogs formed in electrontransfer oxidation with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ or $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ in acetonitrile by applying a rapid-mixing ESR technique. ${ }^{11} 9$-Substituted 10 -methyl-9,10dihydroacridines (AcrHR) are used as NADH analogs. The dihydroacridines are stable toward acids, ${ }^{12}$ while NADH and ordinary NADH analogs are known to decompose in the presence

[^1]of acids. ${ }^{13}$ By changing the alkyl (or phenyl) substituent the reactivity of AcrHR ${ }^{+}$can be systematically varied and finely tuned to cover a wide range of subtle molecular effects including the electron donor and acid properties. In fact, we have found that the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}$ bond of $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{+}$formed by the electron-transfer oxidation is cleaved to yield 10 -methylacridinium ion ( $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}$) or 9-alkyl-10-methylacridinium ion ( $\mathrm{AcrR}^{+}$) and that the selectivity of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ vs $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage varies systematically depending on the change in substituent $R$. The selectivities are compared with the change in the decay rates of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$which can be readily determined by monitoring the transient absorption spectra of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$with use of a stoppedflow spectrophotometer. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of $\mathrm{AcrHR}^{\cdot+}$ formed by the electron-transfer oxidation of the acid-stable AcrHR can also be determined from the deprotonation rate constants in the presence of various concentrations of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ in MeCN .

## Experimental Section

Materials. 9,10-Dihydro-10-methylacridine ( $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}$ ) was prepared from 10 -methylacridinium iodide ( $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}$) by reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in methanol and purified by recrystallization from ethanol. ${ }^{14}$ 10Methylacridinium iodide was prepared by the reaction of acridine with methyl iodide in acetone, and converted to the perchlorate salt ( $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$) by the addition of magnesium perchlorate to the iodide salt, and purified by recrystallization from methanol. ${ }^{9}$ The dideuterated compound, $\left[9,9^{\prime}-{ }^{-} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$-10-methylacridine ( $\mathrm{AcrD}_{2}$ ), was prepared from 10 -methylacridone by reduction with LiAlD $4,{ }^{15}$ which was obtained from Aldrich. 9,10-Dihydro-10-( $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]$ methyl) acridine $\left(\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)$ was prepared by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction of $10-\left(\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]\right.$-methyl)acridinium iodide, which was obtained by reaction of acridine with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ in methanol. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{j}}$ 1-Benzyl-1,4-dihydronicotinamide (BNAH) was prepared according to the literature. ${ }^{16} 9$-Alkyl (or phenyl)-9,10-dihydro-10-methylacridine (AcrHR; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me},{\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Ph} \text { ) was prepared by the reduction of }}^{\text {a }}$ AcrH ${ }^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}$with the corresponding Grignard reagents ( RMgX ). Typically a solution of $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}(4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{MeMgBr}(0.82 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise to a suspension of $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under an atmospheric pressure of nitrogen. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature when the color changed from dark orange to pale yellow, an aqueous solution of $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added to the mixture. The product was extracted with diethyl ether three times ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \times 3$ ), and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure after drying with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The crude product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{MeCN}$ (yield 76\%). AcrHR ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Pr}^{i}, \mathrm{Bu}^{t}, \mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$, $1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ ) was prepared by the photoreduction of $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$with RCOOH in the presence of NaOH in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{MeCN}$ as reported previously. ${ }^{17} \mathrm{AcrHR}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}, \mathrm{CMe}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{COOEt}\right.$, and $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$ ) was prepared by the reduction of $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$with the corresponding ketene silyl acetal $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OEt}) \mathrm{OSiEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CMe}(\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OEt}) \mathrm{OSiEt}_{3}\right.$, and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}$, respectively). ${ }^{18}$

The purity of AcrHR thus obtained was checked by elemental analysis and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ (AcrHMe): C, 86.1; H, 7.2; N, 6.7. Found: C, 85.5; H, 7.2; N, 6.6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) ~ \delta$ $1.25(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}$ (AcrHEt): C, 86.1; H, 7.7; N, 6.3. Found: C, 86.1; H, 7.7; $\mathrm{N}, 6.3$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 0.75(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}$ (Acr$\mathrm{HCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ): C, 88.4; H, 6.7; N, 4.9. Found: C, 88.6; H, 6.7; N, 5.0. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.7-7.3(\mathrm{~m}$, 13H). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}$ (AcrHPh): C, 88.5; H, 6.3; $\mathrm{N}, 5.2$.

[^2]Found: C, 88.5; H, 6.3; N, 5.2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 3.38$ (s, 3H), 5.23 (s, 1H), 6.9-7.3 (m, 13H). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}$ (AcrHPr'): C, $86.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.9$. Found: C, 85.0; H, 7.7; N, 6.0. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta 0.76(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{AcrHBu}^{t}\right)$ : C, 86.0; H,8.4; N, 5.6. Found: C, 85.4; H, 8.3; N, 5.7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.72 (s, 1H), 6.9-7.3 (m, 8H). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}$ (AcrHCH$\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ ): C, 89.7; H, 6.4; N, 3.9. Found: C, 89.3; H, 6.4; N, 4.0. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.8-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}\left(\right.$ AcrHCH $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right): \mathrm{C}, 89.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.2$. Found: C, 89.2; H, 6.3; N, 4.2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{lH}), 6.8-8.0(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{AcrHCH} \mathrm{COOEt}_{2}$ ): $\mathrm{C}, 76.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.0$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 76.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.8$; $\mathrm{N}, 5.0$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 1.11(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.13(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (AcrHCMe(H)COOEt): C, 77.3; H, 7.2; N, 4.7. Found: C, 77.1; H, 7.2; N, 4.7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (AcrHCMe $\mathrm{COOMe}_{2}$ ): C, 77.3; H, 7.2; N, 4.7. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 76.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.2 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.7 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.40$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.9-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$.

Tris(1,10-phenanthroline)iron(III) hexafluorophosphate, [Fe(phen) $)_{3}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{3}$, was prepared by oxidizing a solution of the iron(II) complex with ceric sulfate in an aqueous solution containing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{19}$ Iron perchlorate $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ and perchloric acid $\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}, 70 \%\right)$ were obtained commercially. Acetonitrile used as a solvent was purified and dried by the standard procedure. ${ }^{20}$

Reaction Procedure. Typically, $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}\left(4.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$ was added to an NMR tube that contained a $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution ( $0.60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) of AcrHR ( $4.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ ) under an atmospheric pressure of argon. The oxidized products of AcrHR were identified as 10 -methylacridinium ion (AcrH ${ }^{+}$) and alcohols ( ROH ) or 9-alkyl-10-methylacridinium ion (AcrR${ }^{+}$) and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$by comparing their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra with those of the authentic samples. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR measurements were performed with Japan Electron Optics JNM-PS-100 ( 100 MHz ) and JNM-GSX-400 (400 $\mathrm{MHz})$ NMR spectrometers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}-\delta 4.76$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.9-8.8(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{AcrMe}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \delta 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.9-8.9(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{AcrEt}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}, \delta 1.52(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.9-8.9(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{AcrPh}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \delta 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.5-8.6(\mathrm{~m}$, $13 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{AcrCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \delta 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.5-8.9(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{AcrCHPh}_{2}{ }^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \delta 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.5-8.9(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{Acr}\left(1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \delta 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.5-8.9(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{AcrCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \delta 1.09(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.7-8.7(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{AcrCMe}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{COOEt}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \delta 1.20(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.93(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.7-8.7(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$.

Kinetic Measurements. Kinetic measurements were performed on a Union RA-103 stopped-flow spectrophotometer at 298 K . Decay of transient radical cations (AcrHR ${ }^{++}$) formed in electron transfer from AcrHR $\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ to $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\left(1.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ or $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}(5.0 \times$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ ) in deaerated MeCN was followed by measuring the disappearance of the absorbance due to $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{++}$in the long-wavelength region (640$710 \mathrm{~nm})$. The effects of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ on the decay rates of AcrHR ${ }^{++}(\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}$ ) formed in electron transfer from AcrHR ( $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ ) to $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}\left(7.5 \times 10^{-4}-5.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ were examined by monitoring the disappearance of the absorbance due to $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}$ and $\mathrm{AcrHMe}{ }^{\bullet+}$ in the presence of various concentrations of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\left(1.2 \times 10^{-2}-1.2 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.25 \mathrm{M})$ in deaerated MeCN . The effects of a base (3,5-dichloro-pyridine) on the decay rates of $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{\bullet+}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ formed in electron transfer from AcrHR $\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ to $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}$ $\left(5.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right.$ ) were examined by monitoring the disappearance of the absorbance due to $\mathrm{Acr} \mathrm{HR}^{0+}$ in the presence of various concentrations of 3,5 -dichloropyridine ( $3.0 \times 10^{-3}-1.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ ) in deaerated MeCN. The first-order and second-order decay kinetics were analyzed with an NEC PC computer.

ESR Measurements. The ESR measurements were performed on a JEOL X-band spectrometer (JES-ME-LX). Deaerated MeCN solutions of AcrHR $\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}\left(8.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ under an atmospheric pressure of nitrogen were mixed in the capillary cell by using a JEOL JES-SM-1 rapid mixing flow apparatus. The flow rate was $1-2$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The ESR spectra were recorded under non-saturating microwave power conditions. The magnitude of modulation was chosen to optimize

[^3]the resolution and the signal-to-noise ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ratio of the observed spectra. The $g$ values and hyperfine splitting constants (hfs) were calibrated by using an $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ marker. The simulations of ESR spectra were performed by using an NEC PC computer.

Fast Cyclic Voltammetry. The fast cyclic voltammetry measurements were performed on a Fuso Model HECS 972 potentiostat-galvanostat by using a function generator Fuso Model HECS 980 and a Riken transient memory Model TCFL-8000E. The platinum microelectrode ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ i.d.) was obtained from BAS Co., LTD, and it was routinely cleaned by soaking it in concentrated nitric acid, followed by repeated rinsing with water and acetone and drying at 353 K prior to use in order to avoid possible fouling of the electrode surface. The reference electrode was an $\mathrm{Ag} / 0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$. The cyclic voltammograms were measured with various sweep rates in deaerated MeCN containing $1.0 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NClO}_{4}$ used as a supporting electrolyte at 298 K .

Theoretical Calculations. The theoretical studies were performed with use of the PM3 molecular orbital method. ${ }^{21}$ The MOPAC program (QCPE No. 455), which was revised as OS/2 Version 5.01 to adapt for the use on a NEC PCcomputer, was obtained through the Japan Chemistry Program Exchange (JCPE). ${ }^{22}$ The structural output was recorded by using the MOPC program (JCPE No. P038). The calculations were also performed by using the MOL-GRAPH program Ver. 2.8 by Daikin Industries, Ltd. Final geometries and energetics were obtained by optimizing the total molecular energy with respect to all structural variables. The geometries of the radical cations were optimized by using the unrestricted Hartree-Fock (UHF) formalism. The adiabatic ionization potentials ( $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) were calculated as the difference in the heat of formation ( $\Delta H_{f}$ ) between the radical cation and the corresponding neutral form, when the $\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of the radical cations were calculated with the UHF-optimized structures by using the half-electron (HE) method. ${ }^{23}$

## Results and Discussion

Cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ Bond vs the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ Bond of AcrHR Accompanied by Electron-Transfer Oxidation with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$. When 9 -substituted 10 -methyl-9, 10 -dihydroacridine (AcrHR) is oxidized by $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ or $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{3}$ in deaerated MeCN , the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}$ bond of AcrHR is cleaved to yield 9 -alkyl-10methylacridinium ion ( $\mathrm{AcrR}^{+}$) and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$(eq 1) or the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}$ bond is cleaved to 10 -methylacridinium ion ( $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}$) and $\mathrm{R}^{+}$(eq 2 ), depending on the substituent $R$. The products yields are shown

in Table I. In the case of $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Ph}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$, the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}$ bond of AcrHR is cleaved exclusively to yield $\mathrm{Acr} \mathrm{R}^{+}$ selectively (eq 1). In contrast, the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}$ bond of AcrHR is cleaved selectively in the case of $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ and $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$ to yield $\mathrm{AcrH}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{R}^{+}$. The carbonium ions $\mathrm{R}^{+}$formed primarily may react with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in MeCN to yield mainly the corresponding alcohols (see Experimental Section). In the case of $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{CMe}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{COOEt}, \mathrm{Pr}^{i}$, and $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$, both the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds of AcrHR are cleaved to yield the two types of products shown in eqs 1 and 2 (Table I). In the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.0 \mathrm{M})$, the selectivity for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage in the $\mathrm{AcrHCH} 2 \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ system increases significantly to yield AcrCH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ph}^{+}(88 \%)$ as compared to the yield (45\%) without the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as shown in Table I.

Transient Electronic and ESR Spectra of AcrHR ${ }^{\boldsymbol{+} \text {. Mixing }}$ an acetonitrile ( MeCN ) solution of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ with AcrHR in a stopped-flow spectrometer results in an instant appearance of

[^4]Table I. Electron-Transfer Oxidation of AcrHR ( $4.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ ) by $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{3}$ in Deaerated MeCN at 298 K

| $\begin{gathered} \text { AcrHR } \\ \mathbf{R}= \end{gathered}$ | product (yield, \%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Me | AcrMe ${ }^{+}$(100, $100^{\text {b }}$ ) | $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}\left(0,0^{\text {b }}\right.$ ) |
| Et | $\mathrm{AcrEt}^{+}$(100) | $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}$(0) |
| Ph | $\mathrm{AcrPh}^{+}(100)$ | $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ | $\mathrm{AcrCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}^{+}$(100) | $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}$(0) |
| CMe(H)COOEt | $\mathrm{AcrCMe}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{COOEt}^{+}$(77) | AcrH ${ }^{+}$(23) |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | AcrCH2 ${ }^{\text {Ph }}{ }^{+}$(45) | $\mathrm{AcrH}{ }^{+}$(55) |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{AcrCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}^{+}(88)^{c}$ | $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}(12)^{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pr}^{i}$ | $\mathrm{AcrPr}^{i+}\left(40,43^{6}\right)$ | $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}\left(60,57{ }^{\text {b }}\right.$ ) |
| 1-CH2 $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{AcrCH} 2 \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}\left(17,35^{\text {b }}\right.$ ) | AcrH ${ }^{+}$(83, 65 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ | AcrCHPh ${ }_{2}{ }^{+}\left(25,20^{\text {b }}\right.$ ) | $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}\left(75,80^{\text {b }}\right.$ ) |
| $\mathrm{Bu}^{\text {t }}$ | $\mathrm{AcrBu}^{+}$(0) | $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}$(100) |
| $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$ | $\mathrm{AcrCMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMMe}^{+}(0)$ | $\mathrm{AcrH}^{+}$(100) |

${ }^{a}$ In the oxidation by $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}\left(4.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$ unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ In the oxidation by $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{3}\left(4.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$. ${ }^{c}$ In the presence of $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


Figure 1. Transient absorption spectra of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$formed in the electrontransfer oxidation of AcrHR $\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ by $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{3}(5.0$ $\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ ) in deaerated MeCN at $298 \mathrm{~K}: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{O}), \mathrm{Et}(\ominus)$, and $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ ( $\Delta$ ).
a new transient absorption band at $\lambda_{\max } 640-710 \mathrm{~nm}$ depending on the substituent R as shown in Figure 1. ${ }^{7}$ Essentially the same transient spectrum is obtained when the oxidant $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ is replaced by $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}$ or $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. Thus, appearance of a new transient absorption band may be ascribed to formation of radical cation AcrHR ${ }^{++}$(eq 3). In fact, the ESR spectra are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AcrHR}+\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{AcrHR}^{0+}+\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{+}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

observed in the electron-transfer oxidation of AcrHR with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ in deaerated MeCN by applying a rapid-mixing ESR technique as shown in Figure 2. The $g$-values of AcrHR ${ }^{\bullet+}$ with various substituents R are essentially the same as 2.0027 , indicating the contribution of spin-orbit coupling due to electron spin at the nitrogen nucleus. The ESR signal decayed rapidly when the flow of the reactant solution was stopped as observed in the transient absorption spectrum. Deuterium substitution at appropriate known sites may permit an experimental verification of the assignment of the observed radical species, since a single deuteron gives a triplet (instead of doublet) hyperfine pattern and the deuteron splitting should decrease by the magnetogyric ratio of proton to deuterium (0.153). ${ }^{24}$ In fact, deuterium substitution of two hydrogen atoms at the $\mathrm{C}-9$ position of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}$ results in drastic changes in the splitting pattern from the spectrum in Figure 2a to that in Figure 2b, where $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}$ is substituted by 10 -methyl- $9,9-2 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ] dihydroacridine ( $\mathrm{AcrD} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ ). The substitution of one hydrogen atom with various alkyl or phenyl groups at the
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Figure 2. ESR spectra of AcrHR ${ }^{\bullet+}$ and the computer simulation spectra: (a) $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\circ+}$, (b) $\mathrm{AcrD}_{2}{ }^{\circ+}$, (c) AcrHMe ${ }^{\bullet+}$, (d) $\mathrm{AcrHEt}^{++}$, (e) AcrHPri${ }^{i++}$, (f) AcrHBu ${ }^{\text {º+ }}$, and (g) AcrHPh ${ }^{++}$. The hfs values used for the simulation are listed in Table II.

Table II. Hyperfine Splitting (hfs) Values of AcrHR ${ }^{\bullet+}$

$\left(\mathrm{AcrHR}^{\bullet+}\right)$

| radical cation | hfs, G |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} a_{\mathrm{H}^{-}} \\ (\mathrm{C}-9) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{a_{\mathrm{N}^{-}}}{\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)}$ | $\begin{gathered} a_{\mathrm{H}^{-}} \\ \left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a_{\mathrm{H}^{-}} \\ (\mathrm{C}-2,7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a_{\mathrm{H}^{-}} \\ (\mathrm{C}-4,5) \end{gathered}$ |
| AcrH2 ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 24.2 | 14.0 | 10.4 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
|  | $(22.4,19.7)^{a}$ | $(15.4)^{a}$ | (9.1) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(-2.4)^{\text {a }}$ | $(-1.4)^{a}$ |
| $\mathrm{AcrD}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | $3.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 14.0 | 10.4 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| AcrH2-CD ${ }_{3}{ }^{+}$ | 24.2 | 14.0 | $2.2{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| AcrHMe ${ }^{+}$ | 17.8 | 10.1 | 7.4 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| AcrHEt ${ }^{+}$ | 16.9 | 10.1 | 7.3 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| AcrHPrio+ | 14.4 | 10.3 | 7.5 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| AcrHBu ${ }^{\text {+0+ }}$ | 12.0 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| AcrHPh*+ | 22.3 | 10.7 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 1.0 |

${ }^{a}$ The values in parentheses are those calculated by the PM3 method. ${ }^{b}$ Deuterium splitting value.
$C(9)$ position also causes the change in the splitting pattern as shown in Figure 2c-g.

The observed ESR spectra in parts a-g in Figure 2 can be simulated with the parameters listed in Table II. The hyperfine splitting (hfs) values of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}{ }^{\circ+}$ in which three hydrogen atoms at the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ position of $\mathrm{AcrH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ are replaced by deuterium are also given in Table II. The assignments in Table II are ensured by comparing the hyperfine splitting (hfs) values of $a_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{C}-9)$, $a_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{C}-9), a_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, and $a_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)$, since the hfs values of 24.2 and 10.4 G due to $\mathrm{C}-9$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\circ+}$ are decreased by the factor of the magnetogyric ratio of proton to deuterium ( 0.153 ) to 3.7 and 2.2 G due to $\mathrm{C}-9$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}$ deuterons of $\mathrm{AcrD}_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}$ and $\mathrm{AcrH} \mathrm{A}_{2}-\mathrm{CD}_{3}{ }^{\bullet+}$, respectively, when the other hfs values remain identical. ${ }^{25}$ An important point to note from the results in Table II is the significant decrease in the $a_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{C}-9)$ values by introducing the substituent at the $\mathrm{C}-9$ position; $24.2 \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{++}\right)>22.3 \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{AcrHPh}^{\bullet+}\right)>17.8 \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{AcrHMe}^{++}\right)$ $>16.9 \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{AcrHEt}^{\circ+}\right)>14.4 \mathrm{G}\left(\right.$ AcrHPr $\left.{ }^{i \bullet+}\right)>12.0 \mathrm{G}$ (AcrHBu ${ }^{t++}$ ). Such change in the $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{C}-9)$ values is predicted by the molecular orbital calculations using the PM3 method (vide infra). ${ }^{21-23}$

The hfs values of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}$ are estimated from the spin densities calculated by the PM3 method. ${ }^{26}$ The calculated values shown in parentheses in Table II agree reasonably well with the experimental values determined from the ESR spectra in Figure 2 (parts a and b). The optimized structures and the calculated $a_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{C}-9)$ values of $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{++}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right)$ are shown in Figure 3, together with the experimental $a_{\mathrm{H}}$ values in Table II for comparison. The acridine ring of AcrHR ${ }^{\bullet+}$ is not planar and the $R$ group at the $C-9$ position is in a boat-axial conformation. ${ }^{27}$ In such a case the hfs value of the axial $\mathrm{C}-9$ proton $\left(a_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ux}}}=22.4 \mathrm{G}\right)$ is not equivalent to that of the equatorial $\mathrm{C}-9$ proton $\left(a_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}}=19.7 \mathrm{G}\right)$. In the ESR spectrum of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{*+}$, however, the two $\mathrm{C}-9$ protons are equivalent, $a_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{C}-9)=24.2 \mathrm{G}$, which agrees reasonably well with the average value ( 21.1 G ) of $a_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}}$ and $a_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}}$. Thus, the inversion of the boat structure may occur rapidly in solution in the ESR time scale. As seen in Figure 3, the degree of nonplanarity of AcrHR ${ }^{\bullet+}$ increases with an increase in the folding angle between the planes of the two benzene rings,

[^6]when the calculated $a_{\mathrm{H}_{\infty}}$ values decrease in the order $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(19.7$ $\mathrm{G}) \approx \mathrm{Ph}(19.6 \mathrm{G})>\operatorname{Pr}^{i}(15.5 \mathrm{G})>\mathrm{Bu}^{t}(10.5 \mathrm{G})$, in agreement with the experimental observation. Thus, the introduction of substituents at the $\mathrm{C}-9$ position causes the increase in the magnitude of nonplanarity of the acridine ring, resulting in the decrease in the spin density at the $\mathrm{C}-9$ equatorial proton.

One-Electron Oxidation Potentials of AcrHR*+. Slow-scan cyclic voltammograms of AcrHR exhibit an anodic wave with a current maximum, but the complementary cathodic peak, as expected for a reversible redox couple, was not seen at the scan rates up to $1 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ because of the instability of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$, except for $\mathrm{R}=\operatorname{Pr}^{i}$, when the quasi-reversible couple could be seen at the slow-scan rates. The irreversible anodic wave becomes reversible by raising the scan rate up to values ranging from 200 to $2000 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ depending on the substituent $R$. Figure 4 shows representative examples of fast cyclic voltammograms of AcrHR. The slower scan speed becomes sufficient to gain the reversible wave by replacing the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}$ hydrogen with alkyl groups. The one-electron oxidation potential $E^{\circ}$ ox of the AcrHR ${ }^{\bullet+} / \mathrm{AcrHR}$ redox couple (vs $\mathrm{Ag} / 0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ ) can be readily determined as the average of the anodic and cathodic current maxima. The $E^{\circ}{ }_{0 x}$ values of the various AcrHR thus determined are converted to those vs SCE by adding $0.29 \mathrm{~V}^{28}$ and listed in Table III together with the scan speeds at which the reversible waves are obtained.

We have previously estimated the $E^{\circ}{ }_{0 x}$ value of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}$ and 1-benzyl-1,4-dihydronicotinamide (BNAH) in MeCN at 298 K by analyzing the slow-scan irreversible anodic waves based on the Marcus theory of electron transfer ${ }^{10}$ as 0.80 and 0.57 V (vs SCE), respectively. ${ }^{9,29}$ The estimated $E^{\circ}{ }_{o x}$ value of $\mathrm{AcrH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ agrees well with the value ( 0.81 V ) determined directly by the fast cyclic voltammogram (Table III). ${ }^{30}$ The replacement of the $C(9)$ hydrogen of $\mathrm{AcrH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ with alkyl groups results in an increase in the $E^{\circ}{ }_{\text {ox }}$ value, which is constant at the range $0.84-0.85 \mathrm{~V}$ in the case of $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$, Et, $\operatorname{Pr}^{i}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}$, and $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$. The $E^{\circ}{ }_{0 x}$ value is increased slightly in the case of $R=B u^{t}(0.86 \mathrm{~V})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}(0.88 \mathrm{~V})$. A further increase in the $E^{\circ}{ }_{o x}$ value is noticed in the case of electron withdrawing substituents: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ (0.89 V), $\mathrm{CMe}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{COOEt}\left(0.92 \mathrm{~V}\right.$ ), and $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}(0.92$ V).

The adiabatic ionization potentials ( $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) in the gas phase can be calculated as the difference in the heat of formation $\left(\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ between the neutral form with the optimized structure and the optimized radical cation form by using the PM3 method with RHF formalism (see the Experimental Section). The calculated $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of AcrHR are also listed in Table III. The large $E^{\circ}{ }_{\text {ox }}$ values in the case of electron-withdrawing substituents ( $\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ and $\left.\mathrm{CMe}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{COOEt}\right)(0.89 \mathrm{~V})$ are consistent with the large $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ values as compared to those of the other substituents (Table III). However, the replacement of $C(9)$ hydrogen by the alkyl or phenyl group does not necessarily result in an increase in the $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ value in contrast to the apparent increase in the $E^{\circ}{ }_{\text {ox }}$ value. For example, the $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ value in the case of $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}(7.38 \mathrm{eV})$ is slightly smaller than that of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}(7.39 \mathrm{eV})$, while the $E^{\circ}{ }_{\text {ox }}$ value $(0.88 \mathrm{~V})$ is significantly larger than that of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}(0.81$ V). Similarly, the increase of the $E^{\circ}{ }_{o x}$ value in the case of $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ as compared to that of $\mathrm{AcrH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is not apparent in the corresponding $I_{a}$ value. Such discrepancy may be attributed to the difference in the solvation energy attendant upon electron-transfer oxidation in MeCN . The Ph and $\mathrm{Bu}{ }^{t}$ groups may result in a decrease in the solvation energy of the corresponding radical cation because of the charge delocalization. Such difference in the solvation
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Figure 3. Optimized structures and hfs values of $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}$ protons of $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{\bullet+}$ (the values in parentheses are those calculated by the PM3 method).


Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms of (a) AcrH2 (2000 V s${ }^{-1}$ ), (b) AcrHMe ( $1000 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), and (c) $\mathrm{AcrH}\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}\right)(200 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}$ ) in MeCN containing $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NClO}_{4}$ at 298 K .
may be much more enhanced when compared between $\mathrm{BNAH}^{+}+$ and $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{++}$containing a monocyclic and tricyclic ring, respectively. The solvation of $\mathrm{BNAH}^{+}$is expected to be significantly larger than that of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\circ+}$, resulting in the significant decrease in the $E^{\circ}{ }_{\text {ox }}$ value ( 0.57 V ) as compared to that of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}(0.81$ V), in contrast to the increase in the $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of BNAH (Table III).

Decay Kinetics of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$. A typical example of the decay of $A \operatorname{crHR}{ }^{++}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ is shown in Figure 5. At the initial stage the decay obeys the second-order kinetics as shown in the linear plot of $\left[\mathrm{AcrHMe}{ }^{\cdot+}\right]^{-1}$ vs time. On the other hand, the decay at the longer time obeys the first-order kinetics as shown in the plot of $\ln \left(A_{0}-A\right)$ vs time, where $A_{0}$ is the initial absorbance at $\lambda_{\max }$ $=660 \mathrm{~nm}$ due to $\mathrm{AcrHMe}{ }^{\bullet+}$ and $A$ is the absorbance at the time $t$. When the deviation from the second-order plot starts, the first-order decay kinetics begins to hold (Figure 5). Thus, the decay kinetics of AcrHR ${ }^{\bullet+}$ is given by eq 4 , where $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{d}\left[\mathrm{AcrHR}^{\bullet+}\right] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{AcrHR}^{\bullet+}\right]+k_{2}\left[\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{\bullet+}\right]^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the first-order and the second-order decay rate constants, respectively. The $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ values of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$obtained form the slopes of the first-order and second-order plots, respectively, are listed in Table IV, together with the absorption maxima of

Table III. Fast Cyclic Voltammetric Data for the One-Electron Oxidation of AcrHR in MeCN Containing $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{NCO}_{4}$ and the Adiabatic Ionization Potentials ( $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) Calculated by the PM3 Method

| AcrHR | sweep rate, $\mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $E^{\circ}{ }_{0 x}{ }^{a}{ }^{\text {V }} \mathrm{V}$ | $I_{\mathrm{a}},{ }^{\text {b }}$ eV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AcrH 2 | 2000 | 0.81 | 7.39 |
|  |  | $(0.80)^{c}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{AcrD} \mathrm{D}_{2}, \mathrm{R}=$ | 2000 | 0.81 |  |
| Me | 1000 | 0.84 | 7.42 |
| Et | 500 | 0.84 | 7.37 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}^{i}$ | 2 | 0.84 | 7.38 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 500 | 0.84 | 7.38 |
| $1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 200 | 0.85 | 7.43 |
| $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ | 2000 | 0.84 | 7.38 |
| $\mathrm{Bu}^{\text {t }}$ | 200 | 0.86 | 7.40 |
| Ph | 1000 | 0.88 | 7.38 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ | 1000 | 0.89 | 7.51 |
| $\mathrm{CMe}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{COOEt}$ | 500 | 0.92 | 7.45 |
| $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$ | 200 | 0.92 | 7.50 |
| BNAH |  | $(0.57)^{c}$ | 7.55 |

[^8]AcrHR ${ }^{++}$. When $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}$ is replaced by $\mathrm{AcrD}_{2}$, the large primary kinetic isotope effects are observed for both the first-order and


Figure 5. Decay of the absorbance due to $\mathrm{AcrHR}^{++}$formed in the electrontransfer oxidation of Acr $\mathrm{HMe}\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ by $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{3}(5.0$ $\left.\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in deaerated MeCN at 298 K : first-order ( - ) and secondorder ( 0 ) plots.

Table IV. First-Order ( $k_{1}$ ) and Second-Order ( $k_{2}$ ) Rate Constants for the Decay of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$and the Absorption Maxima of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$, Produced in Electron-Transfer Oxidation of AcrHR by [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]$ ] $\left.\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ in MeCN at 298 K

| AcrHR | $k_{1},{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $k_{2,}{ }^{,} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AcrH2 | $6.4(3.8)^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \times 10^{5} \\ & \quad\left(7.0 \times 10^{4}\right)^{b} \end{aligned}$ | 640 |
| AcrD ${ }_{2}, \mathrm{R}=$ | $7.1 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{4}$ | 640 |
| Ph | 4.1 | $1.2 \times 10^{5}$ | 675 |
| Me | $1.1\left(8.5 \times 10^{-1}\right)^{b}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \times 10^{4} \\ & \quad\left(1.1 \times 10^{4}\right)^{b} \end{aligned}$ | 660 |
| Et | $4.9 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{4}$ | 670 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{4}$ | 670 |
| Pri | $\left(\ll 1 \times 10^{-1}\right)^{6}$ | $c$ | 700 |
| $\mathrm{CMe}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{COOEt}$ | $\ll 1 \times 10^{-1}$ | c | 700 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $2.1 \times 10$ | c | 690 |
| $1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $\left(1.7 \times 10^{2}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | $c$ | 690 |
| $\mathrm{Bu}^{\text {t }}$ | $1.4 \times 10(1.1 \times 10)^{\text {b }}$ | c | 710 |
| $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$ | $(7.2 \times 10)^{\text {b }}$ | $c$ | 710 |

${ }^{a}$ Produced in the reaction of AcrHR $\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}$ $\left(5.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ unless otherwise noted. The experimental errors are within $\pm 10 \% .{ }^{b}$ Produced in the reaction of AcrHR $\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}\left(1.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right) .{ }^{c}$ Too small to be determined accurately.
second-order decay ( $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}=9.0$ and 8.0 , respectively) as shown in Table IV, indicating that both processes involve the transfer of hydrogen nucleus. The second-order decay of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$may be ascribed to the disproportionation of AcrHR ${ }^{\bullet+}$ to yield AcrR ${ }^{+}$, AcrHR, and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$(eq 5). Both the $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ values of AcrHR ${ }^{+}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{AcrHR}^{\bullet+} \rightarrow \mathrm{AcrR}^{+}+\mathrm{AcrHR}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

decrease by introducing the 9 -substituents $\mathbf{R}$ in the order $\mathbf{R}=$ $\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{Ph}>\mathrm{Me}>\mathrm{Et}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$. No second-order decay has been detected for the bulkier substituents $\mathrm{R}=\operatorname{Pr}^{i}, \mathrm{CMe}(\mathrm{H})$ $\mathrm{COOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{Bu}^{t}$, and $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$ under the present experimental conditions. In contrast, the large $k_{1}$ values are obtained for the first-order decay of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$with R $=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}$, $\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$, and $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$, which involve the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage in the oxidation with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ (eq 2, Table I) as compared with the other substituents ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Ph}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ ) which involve only the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage (eq 1, Table I). Thus, the first-order decay of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$is ascribed

Scheme I


Table V. The C(9)-C and C(9)-H Bond Distances (pm) in AcrHR ( $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C},}, d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ ) and the Changes in AcrHR ${ }^{+}\left(\Delta d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}}, \Delta d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}\right)$, Calculated by the PM3 Method

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { AcrHR } \\ \mathrm{R}=\end{array}$ |  | $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}}$ | $\Delta d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}}$ | $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$] \Delta d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$.

## Scheme II


to the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage, i.e., the deprotonation and the $C(9)-C$ bond cleavage depending on the substituent $R$ as shown in Scheme I. In the case of $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$, the deprotonation of AcrHR ${ }^{+}$occurs exclusively, and the deprotonation rate decreases with introduction of the substituent R . As the deprotonation rate is diminished, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage starts to occur in the case of $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{Bu}^{l}$, and $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$, resulting in the significant increase in the $k_{1}$ values. The scan rates of the cyclic voltammograms to gain the reversible anodic wave in Table III may be determined by the change in both the $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ values. The increase in the selectivity for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage in the electron-transfer oxidation of AcrHCH $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Table II) may be ascribed to the enhancement of the deprotonation rate $\left(k_{1}\right)$ because of the favorable solvation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to proton.
The change in the selectivity for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ vs $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$is well reflected in the variation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths between AcrHR and AcrHR ${ }^{\cdot+}$ as shown in Table V , where the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths ( $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}}$ and $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ ) in the optimized structures of AcrHR and the change ( $\Delta d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{c}}$ and $\Delta d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ ) in AcrHR ${ }^{\cdot+}$, are calculated by the PM3 method. ${ }^{21-23}$ The $d_{C_{-c}}$ value of AcrHR ${ }^{\cdot+}$ increases (Table V) as the selectivity of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage increases (Table I). In particular, the $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}}$ value is the largest ( 157 pm ) for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ and $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$, when the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond is cleaved exclusively. The change in the elongation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ vs $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$depending on the substituent R may be closely related to the structural change of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$in Figure 3, associated with the change in the hfs values of the equatorial protons.


Figure 6. Plots of $k_{1}^{-1}$ vs $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]^{-1}$ for the decay of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ in deaerated MeCN at $298 \mathrm{~K}:\left[\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right](70 \%)=1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ $\mathrm{M}(0), 2.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}(\oplus), 5.8 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}(\Delta), 8.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}(\Delta), 1.2 \times$ $10^{-1} \mathrm{M}(\square)$.


Figure 7. Plots of $k_{1}^{-1}$ vs $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]^{-1}$ for the decay of $\mathrm{AcrHMe}{ }^{\bullet+}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ in deaerated MeCN at 298 K ; $\left[\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right](70 \%)=1.2$ $\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}(0), 2.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}(\bullet), 5.8 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}(\Delta)$.

Determination of the $\mathbf{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Values of $\mathbf{A c r} \mathrm{HR}^{\bullet+}$. The direct determination of the deprotonation rate constants $k_{1}$ of $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{+}$ may allow us to evaluate the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{++}$which are fundamental in understanding the oxidation mechanism of NADH analogs. The deprotonation of AcrHR ${ }^{{ }^{+}}$may be in equilibrium with the protonation of AcrR' (Scheme II). In the oxidation of AcrHR with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$, the facile electron transfer from AcrR• to $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ (Scheme II) may also occur to yield AcrR+, judging from the low oxidation potential of AcrH ${ }^{\bullet}\left(E^{\circ}{ }_{0 x} \text { vs }=-0.43 \mathrm{~V}\right)^{9}$ as compared with that of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}\left(E^{\circ}\right.$ ox $\left.\mathrm{vs} \mathrm{SCE}=0.81 \mathrm{~V}\right)$. According to Scheme II, the observed first-order decay rate constant $k_{1}$ is given by eq 6 . Equation 6 is rewritten by eq 7 , which predicts

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{1}=k_{\mathrm{et}} k_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right] /\left(k_{\mathrm{et}}\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]+k_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)  \tag{6}\\
k_{1}^{-1}=k_{\mathrm{d}}^{-1}+\left(k_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / k_{\mathrm{d}} k_{\mathrm{et}}\right)\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

a linear correlation between $k_{1}^{-1}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]^{-1}$. The validity of eq 7 is confirmed by the linear plot of $k_{1}^{-1}$ vs $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]^{-1}$ for the first-order decay of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\circ+}$ in the presence of various concentrations of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ as shown in Figure 6. Similar linear plots are obtained for the decay of AcrHMe ${ }^{++}$as shown in Figure 7. From the intercepts are obtained the deprotonation rate constants $k_{\mathrm{d}}$. Since the electron transfer from $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}\left(E^{\circ}{ }_{o x}=0.81 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ to $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ is too fast to be determined by a stopped-flow technique, the electron transfer from $\mathrm{AcrR}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}: E^{\circ}{ }_{o x}=-0.43 \mathrm{~V}\right),{ }^{9}$ which

Table VI. Deprotonation Rate Constants ( $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\boldsymbol{+}}$ and AcrHMe ${ }^{++}$in the Presence of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ in Deaerated MeCN at 298 K

| $\left[\begin{array}{llll}{[\mathrm{HClO}} \\ 4\end{array}\right]$, | $k_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}\right),{ }^{a}$ | $k_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{AcrHMe}),{ }^{a}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{pK} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}\right)^{b}$ | $\mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{pK} K_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{AcrHMe})^{b}$ |
| $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | 6.4 | 8.1 | $7.0 \times 10^{-1}$ | 8.5 |
| $2.3 \times 10^{-2}$ | 6.8 | 7.6 | $8.2 \times 10^{-1}$ | 8.1 |
| $5.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | 8.2 | 7.1 | $9.5 \times 10^{-1}$ | 7.6 |
| $8.6 \times 10^{-2}$ | 10.3 | 6.9 |  |  |
| $1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ | 11.5 | 6.8 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The experimental errors are within $\pm 10 \% .^{b}$ The experimental errors are within $\pm 0.1$.


Figure 8. Plots showing the dependence of $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $\mathrm{Acr} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$(O) and AcrHMe ${ }^{+}$( $\odot$ ) on the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration $\left(\log \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]\right)$ of MeCN containing $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ at 298 K . The ratio of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] /\left[\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right]$ is $2.4(\mathrm{O}, \bullet)$ and $16.7(\Delta)$.
is a much stronger one-electron reductant than $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}$, to $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ may well be assumed to be diffusion-limited, i.e., $k_{\mathrm{et}}=2.0 \times 10^{10}$ $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Thus, the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left[=-\log \left(k_{\mathrm{d}} / k_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right]$ values are obtained from the intercepts and slopes in Figure 6 and Figure 7 by using eq 7. The $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of $\mathrm{AcrHR}{ }^{++}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me})$ are listed in Table VI. The $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ values increase with an increase in the $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ concentration, when the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values decrease. Such change in the $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values may be ascribed to the concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in the MeCN solution, since $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}(70 \%)$ was used for a safety reason. In fact, the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\circ+}$ and AcrHMe ${ }^{++}$decrease with an increase in the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration $\left(\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] /\left[\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right]=2.4\right)$ contained in the MeCN solution as shown in Figure 8, where the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}$ in the presence of added $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(0.21 \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] /\left[\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right]=16.7\right)$ is included. Thus, the deprotonation of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}$ is accelerated by the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, while the protonation of $\mathrm{Acr} \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}$ is retarded, resulting in the significant decrease in the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values with an increase in the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration in MeCN . Such variation of the protonation and deprotonation rates may be ascribed to the strong solvation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $\mathrm{H}^{+}$as compared to that of $\mathrm{MeCN} .{ }^{31}$

We have previously evaluated the proton transfer rate constants from $\mathrm{AcrH} \mathbf{2}^{\circ+}$ to various bases by analyzing the kinetic data for electron transfer from $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}$ to $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ (bpy $=2,2^{\prime}$ bipyridine) in the presence of various bases in MeCN. ${ }^{9}$ The Bronsted plot of the deprotonation rate constant vs the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of bases in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave the pK a value of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{\bullet+}$ as $2.0,{ }^{9}$ which agrees well with the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained in this study ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=6.8-8.1$ ), when the difference in the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in MeCN and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is taken into account (e.g., the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of pyridine is 12.3 in MeCN , but 5.3 in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .{ }^{2 e, 32}$ On the other hand, Savéant et al. ${ }^{8}$ have recently estimated the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of $\mathrm{AcrH}_{2}{ }^{++}$in MeCN based on the

[^9]

Figure 9. Plots of $k_{1}$ vs $\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right]$ for the decay of $\mathrm{AcrHMe}{ }^{\circ+}(\mathrm{O})$ and $\mathrm{AcrHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}^{++}(\bullet)$ in the presence of 3,5 -dichloropyridine ( $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ ) in deaerated MeCN at 298 K .
thermochemical analysis as $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=0.6$ (or 0.8 ), ${ }^{33}$ which is significantly smaller than the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values determined directly in this study. The origin of such large discrepancy concerning the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values is not clear at present. It should be emphasized,
(33) Anne, A.; Hapiot, P.; Moiroux, J.; Neta, P.; Saveant, J.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4694.
however, that the significant effects of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in aprotic solvents should be taken into account in determining the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in MeCN as clearly demonstrated in Figure 8.

In the case of AcrHR ${ }^{\bullet+}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), the first-order decay occurs mainly via the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage as shown in the large $k_{1}$ value as compared with the $k_{1}$ values of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$(e.g., $\mathrm{R}=$ Me ) which decays via the deprotonation of AcrHR ${ }^{++}$(Scheme I). As such it is difficult to determine the deprotonation rate of AcrHCH2 $\mathrm{Ph}^{\bullet+}$ in comparison with that of AcrHMe ${ }^{\bullet+}$. When an external base such as a pyridine derivative is added to the MeCN solution, however, the deprotonation of $\mathrm{AcrHCH} 2 \mathrm{Ph}^{+}+$occurs as shown in Figure 9, where the $k_{1}$ values are plotted against the concentration of 3,5 -dichloropyridine [ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ ] which is added to the system. The $k_{1}$ value increases linearly with an increase in [ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ ]. From the slope of the plot is obtained the rate constant of the proton transfer from $\mathrm{AcrHCH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Ph}^{\bullet+}$ to $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\left(k_{\mathrm{H}}=1.7\right.$ $\times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). The large rate constant is obtained for AcrHMe ${ }^{+}$ ( $k_{\mathrm{H}}=1.1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Thus, the reactivity of proton transfer of $\mathrm{AcrCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}^{\bullet+}$ is ten times smaller than that of $\mathrm{AcrHMe}{ }^{\bullet+}$.
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